ASTR 511 Galactic Astronomy

Lecture 09 Star Formation History

Prof. James Davenport (UW)

Winter 2023



What is a "Star Formation History"?

- Classically assuming stars form in a relatively "closed-box" model (i.e. within the Milky Way)
 - measure the age distribution of stars (or some proxy or age)
 - Using knowledge (assumptions) of e.g. the IMF, from age distribution of stars you see extrapolate the total # of stars formed over time
- The SFH is tracing star formation rate, and periods of high or low star formation activity over ~13 Gyr
 - i.e. to first order, how efficient is the disk/arms at forming stars over time?

What is a "Star Formation History"?

• But we KNOW the Milky Way is *not* a closed box...



- trace the in-situ formation?
- **Answer: Both**

<u>Limberg+2022</u>

• So is SFH of the MWY really just mapping the accretion history, or does it

 10^{-10}

Number of star

Today: Ages of Stars & SFH

- How do we measure the age of individual stars in MWY?
- How do we statistically estimate the age distribution (i.e. SFH)?







- SFH should be simple enough if we can just measure the ages of all the stars... right?
- This is a notoriously hopeless difficult problem.
- Uncertainties of 10-50% are considered good, probably impossible to do better for some stars (<u>Soderblom 2010</u>)
- Clusters are the only "good" ages
- Ages are fairly easy for some stellar masses at certain phases of their evolution... but very hard for most stars over most of their lives



Sandage (1957)

- Clusters are the best age indicators
 - finding clusters/groups/associations with Gaia VERY helpful for measuring age distribution of disk
- For clusters: turn-off is the most agesensitive feature (see HW 2)
- Can't easily identify "turn-off" stars in the field/disk...
 - But the related sub-giant phase is easier!





- Sub-giant stars... verrrry interesting!
- Short-lived phase of star's life
 - Unfortunately quite late
- Position on CMD relatively deterministic based on mass



- How old are these stars?
- Massive stars don't live long... that's very helpful age anchor here!
- Not too hard to get a rough age constraint here, evolutionary tracks are very dramatic





8

- How old is this star?
- Low mass main seq. stars don't change much over their lives...
 - Majority of stars in the galaxy,
 - they live "forever" (i.e. should be more pristine or direct tracers of SFH)
 - Almost impossible to age date



- Some chemical indicators of age
 - Lithium is easily destroyed in stars, abundance declines strongly with time (e.g. <u>Carlos+2016</u>)
 - But is hard to measure



- Rotation of stars
- Stars lose angular momentum over time, this "spin-down" is maybe useful as a clock
 - Gyrochronology (<u>Barnes 2003</u>)
- Idea established over 50 years ago! <u>Skumanich (1972)</u>
- How good is this clock?



Depends on what the initial rotation is, how constant the angular momentum loss (wind) is, how well you can measure rotation, tidal disturbance





- **Rotation of stars**
- pre-Kepler: rotation for a few thousand stars, mostly from spectra
- Now: tens of thousands!





Phase / Longitude

• Field has exploded since ~2013, thanks to rotation periods from e.g. Kepler



- Rotation of stars
- Many more surveys now making "industrial scale" rotation periods possible (spot amplitudes ~1%)
- e.g. K2 (Gordon+2021), TESS, and Gaia
 - Possibly a million stars will have rotation from Gaia DR4
- Some stars will have rotation from LSST, but its harder



- **Rotation of stars**
- This clock is broken in multiple places
 - Range of initial Periods
 - Stalled spin-down at middle (Myr-Gyr) ages
 - Halted spin-down at late (few Gyr) ages

Good area to work in, but NOT my go-to for inverting into SFH currently



- Angular momentum loss: quieting the stellar dynamo
- Lower magnetic field strength over time, smaller spots, fewer flares, lower chromospheric emission
 - Probably good for biology/life!
- Magnetic Activity (esp. $H\alpha$ emission) a statistical age indicator
 - Activity Lifetime



- Chemical Clocks
- Beyond simply [Fe/H]





- Not so great for precise age-dating of individual stars
- Very neat for statistics...



0.0

And that's kind of it...

- Obviously there's other ways to estimate individual star ages specific phases of stellar evolution that pin-point age)
- Most ALL stellar ages only good to 10-50%...
- So we need statistics to back out the "truth"!

Let's switch to Star Formation History then

(e.g. asteroseismology, kinematics, careful CMD fitting, other chemical clocks,

SFH: Modeling the CMD

• Hipparcos (~120k stars)



- Need volume limited sample (<100pc)
- Small sample size (~450 stars)
- Claim 50 Myr time resolution back to 3 Gyr!







SFH: Modeling the CMD

• Gaia! (80 million stars, out to 2 kpc)





bp-rp

 Forward modeling the CMD (or Hess diagram)

Ruiz-Lara+2020



SFH: Modeling the CMD



SFH of Specific Components

- Go beyond the Disk(s) & Halo:
 - SFH of nuclear cluster starting to be studied, with photometry & spectra
 - A young population of stars there!
 - Helps the "missing pulsar problem"







SFH from Chemical Evolution Modeling



Snaith+2015

- Lots of assumptions about gas accretion/merger history (see Lecture 8)
- Also about recycling timescales and efficiencies
- BUT, pretty compelling approach!

SFH from White Dwarfs!

- White Dwarfs cool over time, can be used as a clock: "cosmochronology"
- Neat physics involved in cooling curves





SFH from White Dwarfs!

- Recent results show... tension (IMO) about what age ranges WD's are sensitive to for SFH reconstruction...
- Still, a promising approach nearby!





 Looks like star formation "burst" 8-10Gyr ago





SFH from TO & Sub Giants

- Helpful tracer in stellar evolution
- Get lots of spectra & 6D locations (positions & velocities)
- New Age -> [Fe/H] distributions



Xiang & Rix (2022)





SFH from TO & Sub Giants

• Recent paper using Gaia + GALAH!





0.36 0.30 GW 0.24 S 0.18 0.12 0.06 0.00



SFH from TO & Sub Giants

• Recent paper using Gaia + GALAH!



Sahlholdt+2022

Lookback time (Gyr)

27

How does it compare?









How does it compare?

- Lots of *internal* consistency checks done (e.g. fitting mock data)
- Each SFH method is so different, VERY hard to cross-calibrate
- Probing different regions of Galaxy



We still have not coalesced on a single detailed SFH picture for the MWY

Next time:

- Kinematics, Rotation, and the "Oort Constants"
- Nothing on the schedule for Next Thursday... YET.
 - Maybe try and connect Kinematics -> dynamics lectures?
 - Or do a homework / discussion day?



